

**President of the Board of Trustees of the
“National Centre for Professional Education Quality Assurance” Foundation**

President’s name, surname

Applicant

Full name of the tertiary level institution (TLI)

Address, telephone number, email

Name, surname, patronymic of the authorized representative of TLI

Data of the ID document of the authorized representative

APPEAL

(Brief statement-title of the appeal)

1. Brief description of the appeal with presentation of statement of facts¹

2. Facts in issues and relevant fact of the appeal²

3. Legal norms for appealing

¹ A brief description of the appeal presents “National Centre for Professional Education Quality Assurance” Foundation’s Accreditation Commission’s decision-making policy (hereinafter referred to as “policy”) on granting institutional accreditation — the facts of the columns of Table 1, which according to the applicant, were the basis for such a decision.

² Under the facts in issues and relevant facts of the appeal, the applicant submits what he/she considers to be substantive arguments that would have contributed to a more favourable decision. Particularly, e.g. an applicant notes that the facts in issues and relevant facts meet the policy requirements of column 1 or 2 of Table 1.

4. Claim of the appeal³

Attached documents⁴

Attached you can find:

1. _____
2. _____
3. _____
4. _____
5. _____

Applicant (representative)

Name, surname, signature

« _____ » _____ 202__ r.

³ Under the claim of the appeal, an applicant submits his / her claim on the decision of the Accreditation Commission. Particularly, e.g. he/she mentions to reconsider the decision or make another one, more favourable.

⁴ The attached documents include documents that have not been submitted before, or have been submitted but have not been considered, which, according to the applicant, will be significantly important for the satisfaction of the claim.